



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,334	07/12/2001	Paul McAlinden	ITL.0609US (P11750)	1583
21906 7590 06/23/2009 TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. 1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750 HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631			EXAMINER ADDY, THJUAN KNOWLIN	
			ART UNIT 2614	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/23/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/904,334	Applicant(s) MCALINDEN, PAUL	
	Examiner THJUAN K. ADDY	Art Unit 2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 April 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3, 4, 8-18, and 20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3, 4, 8-18, and 20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 July 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on April 02, 2009 has been entered. Claims 1, 11, and 20 have been amended. Claims 2, 5-7, 19, and 21-30 have been cancelled. No claims have been added. Claims 1, 3, 4, 8-18, and 20 are now pending in this application, with claims 1 and 11 being independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1, 3, 4, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "applications" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
4. For example, for there to be sufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, the claim should recite "**the applications processor**".

Allowable Subject Matter

5. The indicated allowability of claims 1, 3, 4, 8-10, 14, 19, and 20 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Guterman (US 7,062,303). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1, 3, 4, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guterman (US 7,062,303).

7. In regards to claim 1, Guterman discloses a cellular telephone (See Fig. 1 and wireless device 10) comprising: an applications processor (See Fig. 1 and general purpose processor 24); a baseband processor (See Fig. 1 and baseband processor 12); and a first bus (See Fig. 1 and internal bus 16) coupling said processors (See col. 2 lines 3-16). Guterman discloses that a variety of triggers may be detected to control the transition between power consumption states, and that the triggers are based on inactivity or activity; inactivity causes a processor 12 or 24 to transition to a lower power consumption rate and activity cause a processor 12 or 24 to power back to a higher power consumption state; and that in lower power consumption states (e.g., sleep mode) power consumption is reduced (e.g., in which case the general

Art Unit: 2614

purpose/applications processor 24 may fail to respond to a condition) and the subsystem's ability to promptly act on and execute tasks may also be reduced, so a subsystem (e.g., baseband processor 12) may need to transition to a higher power consumption state to effectively handle various conditions (e.g., emergency calls) and to take required actions (See col. 2 lines 19-35). Although Guterman does not specifically disclose an attempt to make an emergency call, "various conditions" may read on such things as an emergency call. For example, if the general purpose/applications processor 24 is in a lower power consumption state (e.g., sleep mode), the baseband processor 12 has to transition to a higher power consumption state in order to handle various conditions, such as an emergency call, and take required actions.

8. In regards to claim 3, Guterman discloses the telephone, including a keypad, said applications processor coupled to said keypad to receive keypad inputs (See col. 2 lines 3-10).

9. In regards to claim 4, Guterman discloses the telephone, including a display, said applications processor coupled to said display to provide outputs to said display (See col. 2 lines 3-10).

10. In regards to claim 8, Guterman discloses the telephone, wherein said telephone includes a keypad, keypad entries being provided to said applications processor (e.g., which is in a higher power consumption state), said device selectively shunting said keypad entries to said baseband processor (e.g., which is in a lower power consumption state) (See col. 2 lines 19-35).

Art Unit: 2614

11. In regards to claim 9, Guterman discloses the telephone, including a display, said display coupled to receive outputs from said applications processor, said device to selectively bypass the applications processor (e.g., which is in a lower power consumption state) to provide outputs to said display from said baseband processor (e.g., which is in a higher power consumption state) (See col. 2 lines 19-35).

12. In regards to claim 10, Guterman discloses the telephone, including a display and a keypad, said applications processor coupled to said display and said keypad and said baseband processor coupled to said display and said keypad through said applications processor and said device (See Fig. 1 and col. 2 lines 3-10).

13. Claims 11-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guevara et al. (US 6,519,324), in view of Guterman (US 7,062,303).

14. In regards to claim 11, Guevara discloses a method comprising: establishing communication between an input/output device (e.g., device in which an emergency call is made from) and a first processor (e.g., processor located within the first operator station) to execute a first task (e.g., providing assistance in regards to the emergency call); and in response to the detection (via a switching system) of an attempt to make an emergency call and the failure of the first processor to respond to said attempt within a period of time, providing said communications to a second processor (e.g., processor located within the second/alternate operator station) so that the second processor executes the first task in place of the first processor (See Abstract and col. 2 lines 3-18). Guevara, however, does not disclose a first processor, that acts as an applications

Art Unit: 2614

processor, to execute a first task. Guterman, however, does disclose a first processor (e.g., general purpose processor 24, See Fig. 1), that acts as an applications processor, to execute a first task (See col. 2 lines 3-7 and col. 2 lines 30-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate this limitation within the method, as a way of providing a processor responsible for handling non-wireless applications, such as telephone logs, call functions, and the like.

15. In regards to claim 12, Guevara discloses the method, including selectively coupling keypad entries to a second processor when a first processor fails to respond (See col. 2 lines 3-18 and col. 2 lines 47-53).

16. In regards to claim 13, Guevara discloses the method, including coupling keypad entries directly to the first processor except when the first processor fails to respond (See col. 2 lines 3-18).

17. In regards to claim 14, Guevara discloses all of claim 14 limitations, except the method, including detecting an emergency call and in response to the detection of an emergency call, coupling keypad entries directly to a baseband processor. Guterman, however, does disclose detecting an emergency call (e.g., various conditions) and in response to the detection of an emergency call, coupling keypad entries directly to a baseband processor (See Fig. 1 and baseband processor 12) (e.g., the baseband processor is in a higher power consumption state) (See col. 2 lines 19-35).

18. In regards to claim 15, Guevara discloses the method, wherein detecting an event includes detecting the failure of a first processor to respond (See col. 2 lines 3-18).

19. In regards to claim 16, Guevara discloses the method, including detecting the failure of the first processor to respond within a predetermined amount of time (See Abstract and col. 2 lines 3-18).

20. In regards to claim 17, Guevara discloses the method, including coupling said second processor to said first processor and coupling said first processor directly to a keypad and a display (See col. 2 lines 43-53).

21. In regards to claim 18, Guevara discloses the method, including selectively coupling said display and said keypad directly to said second processor (See col. 2 lines 3-18 and col. 2 lines 43-53).

22. In regards to claim 20, Guevara discloses all of claim 20 limitations, except the method, including providing a second processor that acts as a baseband processor. Guterman, however, does disclose providing a second processor (baseband processor 12, See Fig. 1) that acts as a baseband processor (See col. 2 lines 3-7).

Response to Arguments

23. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 8-18, and 20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to THJUAN K. ADDY whose telephone number is (571)272-7486. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00pm.

25. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

26. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thjuan K. Addy/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614